Easton, Pennsylvania  May 2, 2019

A regular meeting of the Northampton County Council was held on the above date with the following present: Ronald R. Heckman, President; Lori Vargo Heffner, Vice President; John Cusick; Matthew H. Dietz; Margaret L. Ferraro; Kevin Lott; William B. McGee; Robert F. Werner; Tara M. Zrinski; Linda M. Zembo, Clerk to Council and Christopher T. Spadoni, Solicitor to Council.

Pledge of Allegiance

Mr. Lott led County Council in the pledge of allegiance.

Approval of the Minutes - April 18, 2019

Mr. Cusick made the following motion:

Be It Moved By the Northampton County Council that the minutes of the April 18, 2019 meeting shall be approved.

Mr. Dietz seconded the motion.

The minutes were approved by voice acclamation.

Courtesy of the Floor

Ms. Gail Preuninger, 4004 Hummingbird Lane, Bethlehem, PA urged County Council to approve a paper ballot voting machine with scanner instead of a machine where a person votes on a touch screen and the ballot is read by a bar code. She stated Lehigh, Monroe and Montgomery Counties have adopted the paper ballot voting machines with scanners.

Ms. Preuninger advised the paper ballot voting machine with scanner was less complex; less prone to programming errors, malicious tampering, hardware failure and becoming obsolete due to vendor security upgrades and would cost less in the long run.
Ms. Preuninger stated voter turnout, which was defined as the number of voters that could cast a ballot during a specific period of time, would be higher because more people would be able to vote at one time.

Mr. Tom Bruno, 719 Spring Garden Street, Easton, PA - provided a copy of his statement (see Attachment #1) wherein he expressed his opinion against the purchase of Election Systems and Software (ES&S) voting machines instead of paper ballot machines and some issues that surrounded ES&S and their machines since mid-1990's through last year's election cycle.

Mr. Mike Schaffer, 350 County Line Road, Riegelsville, PA - advised according to the Federal Bureau of Investigation the Russians were coming to a voting machine near you and the attitude of the White House and Department of Justice, according to their press releases, was whatever. He further advised that was the threat the country was facing and there was no one to rely on, but ourselves.

Mr. Schaffer stated when it comes to software and hardware, the simpler it was the harder it was to hack so that was why he favored plain paper ballots and a counting machine.

Ms. Colleen Gallagher, 357 McKinley Street, Freemansburg, PA - advised she was the Inspector of Elections for Freemansburg and she was not consulted about the machines prior to them being selected. She further advised she worked in the information technology field and she had worked on touch screen machines and there were always issues with calibration where in if a person pressed one thing, it chose something else.

Ms. Gallagher stated if the County wanted voters' votes to count they needed to actually make sure the votes were registered correctly. She further stated she believed the paper ballots would be much more secure because anything computerized could be hacked.

Mr. Kevin Skoglund, 425 Owen Road, Wynnewood, PA - advised he was with Citizens for Better Elections, as well as a cybersecurity consultant, who knew a lot about voting machines. He further advised the maps he provided at the last meeting were just intended to show Counties that had op-scan ability in the precincts to scan a full size paper ballot if they had to.
Mr. Skoglund stated he put together new maps (see Attachment #2). He further advised the first map was a revised version of the map he presented last time and the second map showed the difference between hand marked and machine marked ballots, as well as Counties with Legacy Hand-Marked systems that would have to be replaced and Counties that had selected new ones.

Mr. Skoglund advised the third map reflected the vendors and models of the voting systems recently selected by Counties, noting Philadelphia was the only one that was purchasing the Express Vote XL. He further advised the last page reflected where he obtained his information.

Mr. Skoglund stated the Express Vote XL was a brand new machine and was hardly used so it had no track record. He provided a document from Gloucester County, NJ Website - News Detail (see Attachment #3) indicating this machine was used at a single polling place during the November 6, 2018 General Election, which was National Park, and the second page showed 1,010 ballots were cast at that polling place.

Mr. Skoglund advised the second time it was used was when the New Jersey School Board Association held a Special Election in Warren County, New Jersey. He further advised it was a single-issue election where 383 ballots were cast resulting in a tie vote because there were three under votes.

Mr. Skoglund stated that was discerning because it meant three voters went into the voting booth and were either confused by the machine and casted no vote, they decided they did not want to cast a vote or the machine made a mistake.

Mr. Lorenzo Marinelli, 3520 Corriere Road, Palmer, PA - advised paper ballots were easier because they could not break or be hacked and if power was lost, there could still be an election. He further advised the XL machine printed in reverse so it could change a vote.

Mr. Marinelli stated scanners could malfunction, touch screens and printers could fail and there was no need for over-complication when trying to get people to vote.

Ms. Janis Hobbs-Pellechio, 1010 Benlark, Doylestown, PA - provided documents entitled "10 Year Legacy Cost Estimates" and "Estimated total 10 year cost" (see Attachment #4). She advised the figures for the Clear Ballot 10 year legacy costs seemed way
off to her so she researched it and came up with different numbers. She further advised for paper she came up with $430,000; as Clear Ballot included 17 extra scanners for the County there was no need for more so there was no cost; for the privacy screens/booths she came up with $90,000, for pens she came up with $600 and for printer ink she came up with $50,000.

Ms. Hobbs-Pellechcio stated with the $455,000 discount she figured the total cost for Clear Ballot machines would be $2,600,000 compared to $3,375,000 for the ES&S machines.

County Executive Report

Mr. Lamont McClure, County Executive, advised he wanted to acknowledge the passing of Mr. Rodney Applegate who was a member of the first County Council, as well as a member of the Revenue Appeals Board.

Mr. McClure stated County Council was sued in Court over their responsible contractor ordinance and they won that case.

Mr. McClure advised the Registered Nurses ratified their contract and the Administration was looking forward to working with them to improve things at Gracedale.

Mr. McClure stated GPI, the engineer who represented Krieger in the P3 Bridge Project, wrote a letter on April 26, 2019, to the third party engineer who represented the General Purpose Authority (GPA) that addressed some of the items discussed during a conference call. He further stated GPI indicated the majority of the outstanding concerns would be resolved with a contract amendment; however, until then no new project sites other than Bridges #143, #63 and #184 would be scheduled.

Mr. McClure advised with regard to the reimbursement wherein the County was to be reimbursed for the temporary easements it paid for the letter indicated "it was at the advice of Krieger counsel that they not make any payments until an agreement on a contract amendment was reached".
Mr. McClure stated he was committed to keeping this project moving forward, noting there was a milestone payment due at the end of May, but he was not sure how the GPA was going to react to this letter at their next meeting.

In answer to Mr. Dietz’s question as to whether there were any discussions with regard to what the amendment would look like, Mr. McClure advised the GPA felt taking out the seven bridges would cost $4.6 million and they felt it was more like $2.6 million. He further advised at this time he would characterize the state of negotiations at an impasse.

In response to Ms. Zrinski’s question as to whether the milestone payment could be withheld by the County, Mr. McClure stated the County could not do anything because under the contract the decision would be up to the GPA, but the contract required milestone payments be paid even though it did not define what a milestone was.

Mr. McClure advised he inadvertently signed the District Magistrate Justice office lease that was being presented at tonight’s meeting and apologized. He further advised the people the County contracted with knew it was not binding until County Council approved it.

With regard to the voting machines, Mr. McClure stated a communication was received by the Elections Office from the Warren County Board of Elections that indicated they used the ES&S voting machines in a School Board Election in January and would be using them for their June Primary Elections and they were a lot better than what they had. He further stated Philadelphia was going to use these machines in their Primary Election.

Mr. McClure advised he had a great deal of sympathy for the concerns with regard to the security of the machines, but no one in this County took any kickbacks or bribes from ES&S. He further advised the Secretary of State Kathy Boockvar and the State’s Information Technology experts were going to explain how the machines were certified in Philadelphia so they were in contact with Secretary Boockvar in hopes she may come to Northampton County.

Ms. Zrinski stated what was bothersome to her was the Election Commission voted on this machine immediately after being shown two machines and not the third machine. She further stated if County Council was not complicit with the Election
Commission’s decision, there could be a lawsuit so she wondered as to the purpose of their vote.

Ms. Zrinski advised there were so many questions about this machine that it could undermine a certain group of people’s trust that the vote they cast was the vote they wanted. She further advised she felt the paper ballot machine would satisfy that group of electors to trust the election.

Mr. Spadoni stated he received a request from Mr. Heckman tonight regarding that issue and he would respond to it after reviewing the matter.

Mr. Dietz advised he believed some of the confusion came from the fact that it appeared the Administration did the research into the voting machines and not the Election Commission.

Mr. McClure stated if that was in fact true, there was nothing to be done about it.

Mr. Heckman advised the Election Commission made a choice based on whatever information they received.

Ms. Zrinski stated she would like to invite the three members of the Election Commission who voted for this machine to the next County Council meeting.

Mr. McClure advised just to clarify those three members of the Election Commission saw the other BS&S machine at the Lehigh County Expo.

Mrs. Ferraro stated she would also like to hear from those three members.

Public Hearing on the Ordinance Creating an Open Space, Parks and Recreation Advisory Board

Mr. Heckman advised the following ordinance was introduced by Ms. Zrinski and Mr. Lott at the April 18, 2019 meeting:

AN ORDINANCE ESTABLISHING THE PARKS, RECREATION AND OPEN SPACE ADVISORY BOARD
WHEREAS, in 2002, the Lehigh Valley Planning Commission created the "Northampton County Parks - 2010 Plan" document, which has been used to guide the implementation of activities for park, recreation and trail activities within Northampton County; and

WHEREAS, on or about November 4, 2004, the Northampton County Council adopted Ordinance #423-2004, entitled, "AN ORDINANCE ESTABLISHING THE NORTHAMPTON COUNTY 21ST CENTURY OPEN SPACE INITIATIVE, WHICH ORDINANCE, ACCEPTED THE REPORT OF THE NORTHAMPTON COUNTY COUNCIL OPEN SPACE COMMITTEE PREPARED WITH THE ASSISTANCE OF THE LEHIGH VALLEY PLANNING COMMISSION, CREATING THE NORTHAMPTON COUNTY OPEN SPACE ADVISORY BOARD AND ESTABLISHING ITS DUTIES, AND PROVIDING FOR THE ADMINISTRATION OF THE OPEN SPACE INITIATIVE" with the Northampton County 21st Century Open Space Initiative Guidelines which were also subsequently amended by the enactment of Ordinances #468-2007, #533-2011, #552-2012, 559-2012 and 582-2013; and

WHEREAS, on or about January 7, 2016, the Northampton County Council adopted Ordinance #603-2015, entitled, "AN ORDINANCE ESTABLISHING "THE LIVABLE LANDSCAPES" - AN OPEN SPACE PLAN PROGRAM FOR NORTHAMPTON COUNTY AND FURTHER PROVIDING FOR THE ADMINISTRATION OF THE 21ST CENTURY OPEN SPACE INITIATIVE; and

WHEREAS, under adoption of Ordinance #603-2015 Northampton County Council directed that the Livable Landscapes Plan shall be used when implementing and/or conducting activities under the current 21st Century Open Space Initiative; and

WHEREAS, Northampton County, under adoption of Ordinance #603-2015, through the appropriate County Departments, Divisions and Authorities shall utilize guidelines and policies detailed in the Livable Landscapes Plan when taking actions associated with parks, recreation, trails and land preservation located in Northampton County; and

WHEREAS, the Open Space Advisory Board will be renamed the Parks, Recreation and Open Space Advisory Board (Board) and shall serve as advisors to the County Council on issues presented to the Board to support implementation of the vision, goals and recommended actions of the currently adopted County Open Space, Park and Recreation Plan(s).

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDAINED AND ENACTED by the NORTHAMPTON COUNTY COUNCIL:

1. All "WHEREAS" Clauses are incorporated and adopted into this ordinance.

2. Any prior references to the name "Open Space Advisory Board," in any County Ordinances or Resolution shall now be named, designed and construed to be the "Parks, Recreation
and Open Space Advisory Board."

3. The functions and powers of the Board shall be:

a. Serve in an advisory capacity to the County Council for the implementation of the vision, goals and recommended actions of the currently adopted County Open Space, Park and Recreation Plan(s);

b. Review current open space and parks and recreation programs and facilities in the County to determine additional needs and submit recommendations pertaining to open space, parks and recreation guidelines, policies, budgets, facilities or user fees, if any, to the County Council;

c. Review all open space, parks and recreation-related matters submitted to the Board;

d. Periodically review and, if necessary, suggest updates for the currently adopted County Open Space, Park and Recreation Plan(s);

e. Make recommendations to the Administration and County Council on providing funds for grant programs dedicated to open space, natural areas and parks and recreation initiatives;

f. Within the current County Council’s goals, objectives and budget, perform the above-mentioned duties using the following criteria:

- Promote environmental protection through acquisition and restoration of natural lands;
- Promote maximum utilization of parks, recreation facilities and programs;
- Enhance the quality of the leisure life of all County residents through parks and recreation programs and services;
- Provide to County residents as wide a variety of recreational opportunities as possible; and,

g. Make recommendations to the County Council on rules and regulations that help protect, maintain and enhance the natural environment;

h. This Board will not supersede actions of other
Authorities, Boards and Commissions;

Continue to exercise all the duties, responsibilities and obligations of the formerly named "Open Space Advisory Board" as designated in any County Ordinance and Resolution including but not limited to Ordinances 423-2004 and 603-2015.

Public Hearing

Mr. Heckman asked if there were any questions or comments from the public.

There were no respondents.

In answer to Mr. Cusick's question as to whether they were eliminating and establishing the current board or just renaming it, Ms. Zrinski replied Mr. Bryan Cope, Parks and Recreation Superintendent, indicated they were just renaming it.

As there were no further questions or comments, Mr. Heckman called for the vote.

The vote: Zrinski, "yes"; Lott, "yes"; Ferraro, "yes"; Heckman, "yes"; McGee, "yes"; Vargo Heffner, "yes"; Werner, "yes"; Cusick, "yes" and Dietz, "yes".

The ordinance was adopted by a vote of 9-0.

Introduction of an Ordinance Entitled, "AN ORDINANCE OF THE NORTHAMPTON COUNTY COUNCIL AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY OF NORTHAMPTON, EASTON, PENNSYLVANIA, TO LEASE APPROXIMATELY 2,990 SQUARE FEET OF OFFICE SPACE IDENTIFIED AS 301 BROADWAY, BETHLEHEM, PENNSYLVANIA FROM SYCAMORE HILL FARM DEVELOPMENT LP" (District Court 3-2-10)

Ms. Vargo Heffner and Ms. Zrinski introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE OF THE NORTHAMPTON COUNTY COUNCIL AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY OF NORTHAMPTON, EASTON, PENNSYLVANIA, TO LEASE APPROXIMATELY 2,990 SQUARE FEET OF OFFICE SPACE AND SIX (6) PARKING SPACES WITHIN THE BUILDING IDENTIFIED AS 301 BROADWAY, BETHLEHEM,
PENNSYLVANIA AND TWO (2) PARKING SPACES IN A NEARBY SURFACE LOT FROM SYCAMORE HILL FARM DEVELOPMENT LP, BETHLEHEM, PENNSYLVANIA

WHEREAS, Northampton County Administrative Code Article XIII, Section 13.15 Purchase, Sale and Lease of Real Estate Section b. Sealed Appraisals, provides, "The County shall not purchase, sell, or lease real estate without first obtaining sealed appraisals from two (2) professional real estate appraisers."; and

WHEREAS, Northampton County Administrative Code Article XIII, Section 13.15 Purchase, Sale and Lease of Real Estate Section c. (1) Purchase/Sale/Lease of Real Estate, provides, "The County Executive, or his designee, may negotiate a contract for the purchase, sale or lease (with the County as lessor or lessee) of real estate. Any such purchase/sale/lease shall be approved by County Council, and no such contract shall bind the County nor shall any conveyance be lawful, until County Council approves of the terms of the purchase/sale/lease."; and

WHEREAS, Northampton County Home Rule Charter Article 602 (a)(6) provides that the Northampton County Council shall enact an ordinance for any act which "purchase, conveys, leases or authorizes the purchase, conveyance or lease of any real property of the County".

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT HEREBY ORDAINED AND ENACTED by Northampton County Council that it does hereby authorize the County of Northampton, Easton, Pennsylvania, to lease, from Sycamore Hill Farm Development LP, Bethlehem, Pennsylvania, approximately 2,990 square feet of office space and six (6) parking spaces within the building identified as 301 Broadway, Bethlehem, Pennsylvania and two (2) parking spaces in a nearby surface lot for an initial rent of $5,250 per month. The terms and conditions of the lease shall be in accordance with the lease agreement, a copy of which is attached hereto and made a part hereof as Exhibit "A".

Effective Date - This ordinance shall become effective thirty days after the date of enactment.

Mr. Heckman stated the public hearing, debate and possible vote would be held at the May 16, 2019 meeting.
Consideration of a Resolution Amending the Northampton County Conservation District Expedited Technical Review Policy

Ms. Zrinski introduced the following resolution:

R. 44-2019  **IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED** By the Northampton County Council that the Northampton County Conservation District Expedited Technical Review Policy shall be amended as indicated on the attached document (sections marked with strikeout have been deleted and those marked in red have been added).

In response to Mr. Cusick's question as to why this was being done, Ms. Sharon Pletcher, Conservation District Manager, advised this policy was coming before County Council because it was directly related to their fee schedule and there was no change to the fees. She further advised they found some issues in the policy that had to be clarified and to make it a smoother process for future applicants.

As there were no further questions or comments, Mr. Heckman called for the vote.

The vote: Zrinski, "yes"; Heckman, "yes"; Lott, "yes"; McGee, "yes"; Vargo Heffner, "yes"; Werner, "yes"; Cusick, "yes"; Dietz, "yes" and Ferraro, "yes".

The resolution was adopted by a vote of 9-0.

Consideration of Collective Bargaining Unit Agreement Between the United Steelworkers of America - Gracedale Professional Bargaining Unit and the County of Northampton Resolution

Mr. Werner introduced the following resolution:

R. 45-2019  **WHEREAS**, Northampton County Charter Section 202 (12) provides that, "the County Council shall have the power to approve any collective bargaining agreements with officers and employees".

**NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED** by the Northampton County Council that the Collective Bargaining Unit Agreement between United Steelworkers of America - Gracedale Professional Bargaining Unit and the County of Northampton shall be approved
this 2\textsuperscript{nd} day of May 2019.

As there were no questions or comments, Mr. Heckman called for the vote.

The vote: Werner, "yes"; Lott, "yes"; McGee, "yes"; Vargo Heffner, "yes"; Zrinkski, "yes"; Cusick, "yes"; Dietz, "yes"; Ferraro, "yes" and Heckman, "yes".

The resolution was adopted by a vote of 9-0.

Consideration of a Resolution Establishing a Property Assessed Clean Energy Program

Mr. McGee introduced the following resolution:

R. 46-2019

A RESOLUTION ESTABLISHING A COMMERCIAL PROPERTY ASSESSED CLEAN ENERGY PROGRAM IN THE COUNTY OF NORTHAMPTON AND TAKING AND AUTHORIZING OTHER ACTION IN CONNECTION THERewith

WHEREAS, Title 12 of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, 12 Pa. C.S.A 4301, et seq., as amended, authorizes Counties and municipalities to establish a Commercial Property Assessed Clean Energy Program (Program); and

WHEREAS, Section 4303 of Title 12 authorizes a County to establish the Program by adopting a resolution establishing the Program, defining an area or group of real properties designated for the purpose of establishing the Program (the District) and provide other operational standards and guidelines; and

WHEREAS, the Northampton County Department of Community and Economic Development, at the direction of County Executive Lamont G. McClure, has been exploring the feasibility and benefits of establishing a Program with the PP&L Sustainable Energy Fund Inc. (Sustainable Energy Fund); and

WHEREAS, the Sustainable Energy Fund is a Pennsylvania 501c3 not for profit entity with a mission to promote, research and invest in clean and renewable energy technologies, energy conservation, energy efficiency and sustainable energy enterprises that provide opportunities and benefits; and
WHEREAS, the Sustainable Energy Fund has been a leader in developing Program guidelines that can be used statewide in establishing a Program; and

WHEREAS, the Sustainable Energy Fund can perform the role of Program Administrator for an established Program; and

WHEREAS, on March 7, 2019, Northampton County Council Community and Economic Development Committee heard a presentation by Sustainable Energy Fund on the nature and benefits of establishing a Program in Northampton County; and

WHEREAS, the Northampton County Council desires the County to establish the Program; and

WHEREAS, the program will comply with the requirements and procedures set forth in 12 Pa. C.S.A.4301 et. al.; and

WHEREAS, the Northampton County Council desires the County to enter into the Cooperation Agreement with Sustainable Energy Fund for the administration of the Program; and

WHEREAS, the County Executive has provided notice to every municipality within the County that the Northampton County Council intends to establish the Program throughout the entire County.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Northampton County Council that:

All WHEREAS clauses are incorporated into this resolution by reference.

A property assessed clean energy program shall be established in the County and shall be known as the "Northampton County C-PACE Program".

The Northampton County Council designates the entire County as the District as defined in Section 4302 of Title 12 of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, 12 P.S. §4302.

The Sustainable Energy Fund shall be authorized to administer the Northampton County C-PACE Program in accordance with the Cooperation Agreement.

The County and the Sustainable Energy Fund shall negotiate the terms of the Cooperation Agreement.
The Northampton County Council authorizes the Northampton County Executive to execute the Cooperation Agreement.

The Northampton County Council authorizes the Northampton County Executive or designee to execute any and all other necessary documents as may be required to facilitate the successful implementation of the Northampton County C-PACE Program.

Any reference in this Resolution to any officer of the County or any officer or member of the Northampton County Council shall be deemed to refer to his or her duly qualified successor in office, if applicable.

The County approves, ratifies and confirms all action heretofore taken by its officers, its designated representative or representatives and other persons on its behalf in connection with the undertakings herein contemplated to the extent not inconsistent with this Resolution.

In the event any provision, section, sentence, clause or part of this Resolution shall be held to be invalid, such invalidity shall not affect or impair any remaining provision, section, sentence, clause or part of this Resolution, it being the intent of the Northampton County Council that such remainder shall be and shall remain in full force and effect.

All prior resolutions or parts of prior resolutions that are inconsistent with this Resolution are hereby repealed.

This Resolution shall become effective immediately upon it having been recorded in the minutes of the Northampton County Council and the Northampton County Council hereby directs that this Resolution be recorded immediately following its adoption.

As there were no questions or comments, Mr. Heckman called for the vote.

The vote: McGee, "yes"; Vargo Heffner, "yes"; Werner, "yes"; Zrinski, "yes"; Cusick, "yes"; Dietz, "yes"; Ferraro, "yes"; Heckman, "yes" and Lott, "yes".

The resolution was adopted by a vote of 9-0.
Consideration of Article XIII Contract Resolution: Skanska USA Building

Mr. Werner introduced the following resolution:

R. 47-2019 WHEREAS, Northampton County Administrative Code Article XIII Procurement and Disposition of County Property, Section 13.15 Contracts and Agreements c.(2) requires approval of County Council for "any contract where costs are to be funded with monies outside of the County's General Fund, such as those funded through bonded indebtedness."; and

WHEREAS, Northampton County Administrative Code Article XIII Procurement and Disposition of County Property, Section 13.15 Contracts and Agreements c. (1) requires approval of County Council for "...any contract exceeding $100,000, which was awarded using the Competitive Negotiation, Negotiation After Competitive Sealed Bidding, and Non-Competitive Negotiation source selection methods. For contracts with renewal clauses, the entire potential payout if all renewal clauses are exercised under the terms of the contract must be considered when determining if Council approval is necessary"; and

WHEREAS, on April 30, 2019, the Northampton County Council received a request from the County Executive for County Council to adopt a resolution endorsing a contract in the amount of $329,788 with Skanska USA Building for construction management services for the Regional Forensic Center.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Northampton County Council does hereby concur with the recommendation of the County Executive, as set forth in the attached documentation to approve a contract with Skanska USA Building for construction management services for the Regional Forensic Center.

Mr. Cusick stated contracts usually went through the Finance Committee for discussion so he could not support this especially since there were no representatives from Skanska USA Building (Skanska) present.

Mr. Heckman advised he was asked to put this on the agenda due to time constraints.

Mr. Michael Emili, Director of Public Works, stated Skanska would take the completed plans from the architect and engineer and conduct a constructability review on them so any concerns
related to construction could be addressed early in the process. He further stated during construction they would have a representative on site every day that the contractor was out there and act as the eyes and ears of the County for the project.

In answer to Mr. Werner’s question as to whether the cost of this contract was included in the price provided for the forensic center, Mr. Emili advised it was and they were just asking to release the funds.

Mr. Emili stated this was a time-sensitive project for the County given the current building the coroner was operating out of was not in the greatest condition. He further stated they had an aggressive schedule for this project and were expecting to get it out to bid within a month so the non-approval of this contract would impact the schedule and cut into the time the construction manager would have to start reviewing those plans.

Mr. Lott advised he knew this contractor did quality work and he was surprised they went after a project this small at this scope. He further advised one of their recent projects was the PP&L Data Center, which was a very technical project that came in on time and below budget.

In response to Ms. Zrinski’s question as to whether they were the lowest responsible bid, Mr. Emili stated they received one bid that was suspiciously low compared to the others that were received so they did not consider it a responsible bid. He further stated they narrowed the seven bids down to three and brought them in for follow up interviews with the selection committee, noting the cost between them was negligible on a project of this size, and they felt Skanska offered the best value.

In answer to Mr. Werner’s question as to what was the time frame, Mr. Emili advised if this was approved tonight, all of the contract documents would be given to Skanska on Monday.

Mr. Cusick commented he respected Mr. Lott’s opinion, but for him it was important for them to appear at this meeting so he would not be supporting it.

As there were no further questions or comments, Mr. Heckman called for the vote.
The vote: Werner, "yes"; Vargo Heffner, "yes"; Zrinski, "yes"; Cusick, "no"; Dietz, "no"; Ferraro, "yes"; Heckman, "yes"; Lott, "yes" and McGee, "yes".

The resolution was adopted by a vote of 7-2.

Human Services Committee Report

Ms. Vargo Heffner stated the Human Services Committee met earlier in the evening and received information pertaining to Family First from Mr. Kevin Dolan, Director of Children, Youth and Families. She further stated they also received an update on Gracedale and how their policies were being received.

Industrial Hemp Ad Hoc Committee Report

Ms. Zrinski advised she, Mr. Cusick and Mr. Cope attended the first ad hoc committee meeting and it was very successful. She further advised they were in the process of determining what farms required and what economic resources were available either through the County or other entities to assist them.

Ms. Zrinski stated there would be another meeting at 10:00 a.m., on May 14, 2019, at the Louise Moore Park farmhouse.

Mr. Cusick advised the meeting was very informative and several farmers indicated they had received the necessary permits. He further advised he believed there was an opportunity here, but they had to determine where to purchase the seeds and how to harvest the product.

Ms. Zrinski advised Lancaster County was at the forefront of this endeavor, but Northampton County was at the forefront for this region. She further advised there were a lot of people interested and she felt it would be an economical value to pursue it especially in the Slate Belt because of its potential for manufacturing, processing and job creation.
Airport Authority Liaison Report

Ms. Zrinski stated they were currently working on several projects and they added the non-discrimination language for gender identity to their bylaws.

Lehigh Valley Planning Commission Liaison Report

Mr. McGee advised the Lehigh Valley Planning Commission met and they discussed the Gun Club Road warehouse development project ultimately unanimously voting against it due to a lot of things they determined were wrong with it.

Adjournment

Ms. Zrinski made a motion to adjourn the meeting.

Mr. Cusick seconded the motion.

The motion to adjourn passed unanimously by acclamation.

______________________________
Linda M. Zembo
Clerk to Council
Northampton County Council Meeting
May 2, 2019

Public Remarks
In
OPPOSITION to the Purchase of ES&S Voting Machines

By: Tom Bruno
719 Spring Garden St.
Easton, PA 18042
610-250-7055
Good evening.

My name is Tom Bruno. I’m a 27 year citizen of Northampton County and have been the elected Majority Inspector of Elections for the last 5 years. Imagine my surprise when I read newspaper reports that claimed poll workers got a chance to see the new ES& S voting machines and that we all endorsed them. Not only did I not get a chance to see them, I was never consulted, contacted, or asked about them. That would fall under the category of “fake news.”

Having been a poll challenger and poll worker in NJ for many years before moving to PA, and having been the political director for CWA NJ for many years, I have had MANY dealings with a variety of voting machines and the companies that make them.

Of all the companies out there, it surprised me to see ES& S as the front runner given their less than stellar track record. A simple cursory check of the company’s past dealings provides enough issues to make ANY person with a modicum of integrity cringe at the mention of ES& S machines or ANY machine that does not provide a paper backup. These machines are simply the WRONG choice under ANY circumstance.

Voting is our most sacred right as citizens. To hear some decision makers claim that paper ballots are too cumbersome, or too weighty, or too time consuming, or too much trouble, and use those lame rationalizations as a reason to sacrifice voter security is as absurd as it is ludicrous. What price is too much to safe guard our vote? Poll workers already carry boxes of paper to the polls and back again. Cumbersome or weighty??? PLEASE!!!!! Time consuming??? So is taking off your shoes and going through a metal detector at the airport, and yet, in the interest of safety and security, we do it. The excuses are disingenuous at best.

Here are just some of the problems ES& S has been intricately involved with since the mid 1990’s through last year’s election cycle.

EXHIBIT 1

Kickbacks, bribes and conflicts of interest:

- Los Angeles 1990-98 122 bribes and kickbacks totaling $134,000 to election officials
- California 2002 head of machine inspectors given a job after giving glowing endorsement of their machine led to purchase
- Baton Rouge, LA 2002 kickbacks and bribes
- Florida Sec. of State 2002 – accepted commissions for each machine to a Florida County

Security Glitches and Vote Integrity Issues

- Several Counties in Maryland mid to late 90’s
- Detroit primary 1997 miscounted in favor of GOP
- Dallas 1997 over 41,00 votes missed
- Rhode Island 1998
- Hawaii 1998
- Venezuela 1999 Election was halted even after the Gov’t sent a private jet to pick up new machines in Nebraska
Union County Florida 2002 Primary gave all votes to GOP by coding both parties the same

Dallas 2002 push Democrat, but vote Republican “glitch”

Clay County Kansas Commissioner Primary 2002 540-175 incumbent landslide victory was initially ruled a slim 22 vote victory for the challenger after a manual vote count of the ballots

Oakland County, MI 2008 glitches and 2012* (*modem installed for cell phone access... no worries ther);

Cuyuga County, OH 2010 Primary

Chicago 2014 e-poll books dropped thousands of eligible voters

Pennsylvania 2017 Remote Access software pre-installed on machines despite initial denial by the company

Johnson County Indiana Nov. 2018 glitches that led to delays for thousands of voters were not reported to the Election Commission by ES&S even though they knew months earlier about them in violation of Indiana law.

So, my elected officials are willing to buy highly expensive machines that have a proven track record of glitches that historically have favored Republicans in states and counties all over the country or have simply not registered all the votes in certain Democratic strongholds, coincidentally of course. Machines that light up the Republican candidate when you hit the Democrat’s name, or show you voted one way, but then print something entirely different on the tally sheet. All this from a Company that has been involved with bribery and kickback scandals as well as lying to officials about their machines’ integrity and about preinstalling remote access software on their machines without the knowledge of their customers which has been demonstrated to be easily hacked.

My daughter worked at the Department of Homeland Security in Washington DC and was involved with the Russian vote hacking investigation all over the county and is considered a Subject Matter Expert on the issue. Not one question was raised with her despite most of you knowing her. Instead, you are willing to sacrifice the sanctity of the voters’ rights to honest and secure elections for what? Certainly NOT because ES&S is beyond reproach, and certainly NOT because their machines are the best or most secure!

The ONLY way to secure the integrity of the electoral process is with machines that provide a paper trail that can be manually read and counted if challenged. Every cyber security expert has said this.

What is the REAL reason we are pushing these machines so hard down the collective throat of the county citizens?? I know almost everyone of you personally. Given this company’s track record of lies, deception, bribes and kickbacks, and the abundantly clear record of the machines they produce, it simply does not pass the smell test. If you have the integrity and the conscience that I believe all of you do, you simply cannot agree to buy these machines. Instead, you should do what the cyber security experts have been advising all along... voting machines that employ hard copy ballot back ups. Our votes deserve nothing less.

After denying that their voting systems came with remote-access software, the country’s largest voting machine vendor had just come clean.

By Matt Agorist - July 18, 2018

Spread the love

A bombshell revelation on the security of voting in the United States has just surfaced in the form of a letter from the country’s largest voting machine manufacturer. The company, Election Systems and Software (ES&S) admitted that despite denying previous allegations of its voting systems coming installed with remote-access software, their systems did, indeed, allow for remote connections.

In a letter to Sen. Ron Wyden (D-OR), written in April, but only released this week, the company acknowledged that it had installed software that made the systems remotely accessible from anywhere.

“Prior to the inception of the [Election Assistance Commission] testing and certification program and the subsequent requirement for hardening and at customer’s request, ES&S provided pcAnywhere remote connection software on the [Election-Management System] workstation to a small number of customers between 2000 and 2006,” wrote Tom Burt, ES&S president.
According to Vice reporter, Kim Zetter, “The statement contradicts what the company told me and fact checkers for a story I wrote for the New York Times in February. At that time, a spokesperson said ES&S had never installed pcAnywhere on any election system it sold.”

“None of the employees, ... including long-tenured employees, has any knowledge that our voting systems have ever been sold with remote-access software,” the spokesperson said in February. However, this proved to be untrue.

Wyden described the decision to install remote-access software as “the worst decision for security short of leaving ballot boxes on a Moscow street corner.”
Counties with Op-Scan Ability

Counties with at least one optical scanner in each precinct to scan hand-marked, absentee, and emergency paper ballots.
Hand-Marked vs. Machine-Marked

Counties which will use hand-marked paper ballots or ballot marking devices as their primary voting method.
Vendors and Models

The vendors and models of the voting systems recently selected by counties.

- ES&S DS200 + ExpressVote (ADA)
- ES&S ExpressVote + DS200
- ES&S ExpressVote XL
- Dominion ImageCast
- Unisyn OVO/FVT
## PA Counties Which Have Selected New Voting Systems

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>System Type</th>
<th>Make</th>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adams</td>
<td>Recently purchased hand-marked paper ballot system</td>
<td>ES365</td>
<td>DS200 op-scan + ExpressVote BMD (for ADA)</td>
<td><a href="http://www.gettysburgtimes.com/news/local/article_9859992d-e5e5-6500-ae5a-7f59d000a9c3.html">http://www.gettysburgtimes.com/news/local/article_9859992d-e5e5-6500-ae5a-7f59d000a9c3.html</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beaver</td>
<td>Recently purchased hand-marked paper ballot system</td>
<td>ES365</td>
<td>DS200 op-scan + ExpressVote BMD (for ADA)</td>
<td><a href="https://www.timesonline.com/news/20190701/county-makes-switch-to-paper-ballots">https://www.timesonline.com/news/20190701/county-makes-switch-to-paper-ballots</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berks</td>
<td>Recently purchased BMD-for-all system</td>
<td>ES343</td>
<td>DS200 op-scan + ExpressVote BMD</td>
<td><a href="https://www.readingeagle.com/news/berks-county-to-spend-45-million-on-new-voting-machines">https://www.readingeagle.com/news/berks-county-to-spend-45-million-on-new-voting-machines</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blair</td>
<td>Recently purchased hand-marked paper ballot system</td>
<td>ES45</td>
<td>DS200 op-scan + ExpressVote BMD (for ADA)</td>
<td><a href="http://www.altononlinenews.com/local-news/201904/blair-picks-paper-ballots/">http://www.altononlinenews.com/local-news/201904/blair-picks-paper-ballots/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bradford</td>
<td>Recently purchased BMD-for-all system</td>
<td>Unspecified</td>
<td>FreedomVote Tablet BMD + OpenElect OVO op-scan</td>
<td><a href="http://www.morning-times.com/news/article_a7bf74a7d-bb14-462f-a1f0-9b982b989058.html">http://www.morning-times.com/news/article_a7bf74a7d-bb14-462f-a1f0-9b982b989058.html</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butler</td>
<td>Recently purchased hand-marked paper ballot system</td>
<td>ES365</td>
<td>DS200 op-scan + ExpressVote BMD (for ADA)</td>
<td><a href="https://www.pittsburghPost">https://www.pittsburghPost</a> Gazette.com/2019/03/07/butler-county-paper-voting-ballots/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centre</td>
<td>Recently purchased hand-marked paper ballot system</td>
<td>ES36</td>
<td>DS200 op-scan + ExpressVote BMD (for ADA)</td>
<td><a href="https://www.centredaily.com/news/local/article285592902.html">https://www.centredaily.com/news/local/article285592902.html</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clearfield</td>
<td>Wall scan purchased hand-marked paper ballot system</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>Decided on hand-marked paper ballot system, but no vendor picked</td>
<td><a href="http://www.theregonian.com/news/local-county-election-board-chooses-paper-ballots-over-touch-screen/article_75ff74bb-75ce-56a8-b9e5-049901990110.html">http://www.theregonian.com/news/local-county-election-board-chooses-paper-ballots-over-touch-screen/article_75ff74bb-75ce-56a8-b9e5-049901990110.html</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana</td>
<td>Recently purchased hand-marked paper ballot system</td>
<td>ES46</td>
<td>DS200 op-scan + ExpressVote BMD (for ADA)</td>
<td><a href="https://www.indiana.gov/departments/voting-and-elections/elections/voting-machines/">https://www.indiana.gov/departments/voting-and-elections/elections/voting-machines/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lawrence</td>
<td>Recently purchased hand-marked paper ballot system</td>
<td>ES46</td>
<td>DS200 op-scan + ExpressVote BMD (for ADA)</td>
<td><a href="http://www.ellwoodtown.independent.com/news/20190113/lawrence-county-commissioners-choose-precinct-scan-voting-system/">http://www.ellwoodtown.independent.com/news/20190113/lawrence-county-commissioners-choose-precinct-scan-voting-system/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lebanon</td>
<td>Recently purchased hand-marked paper ballot system</td>
<td>ES46</td>
<td>DS200 op-scan + ExpressVote BMD (for ADA)</td>
<td><a href="https://elb.town/2019/02/12/lebanon-county-met-states-deadline-to-select-new-voting-system/">https://elb.town/2019/02/12/lebanon-county-met-states-deadline-to-select-new-voting-system/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery</td>
<td>Recently purchased hand-marked paper ballot system</td>
<td>ES46</td>
<td>ImageCast Precinct op-scan + ImageCast X BMD (for ADA)</td>
<td><a href="https://www.montgomeryarchiv">https://www.montgomeryarchiv</a> eCenterViewFileItem/4659</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Susquehanna</td>
<td>Recently purchased hand-marked paper ballot system</td>
<td>Unspecified</td>
<td>FreedomVote Tablet BMD + OpenElect OVO op-scan</td>
<td><a href="https://www.apnews.com/5af01c4f20f4-6576d2bc27235f515770">https://www.apnews.com/5af01c4f20f4-6576d2bc27235f515770</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- DS200 op-scan: Digital Scan 200
- ExpressVote: ExpressVote Voting System
- FreedomVote: FreedomVote Voting System
- OpenElect: OpenElect Voting System
- ImageCast: ImageCast Voting System
- Unspecified: Vendor unspecified
- ADA: Accessible Voting System

Additional links to county-specific news articles are included for each county mentioned.
National Park voters will test new voting machines that produce paper record

For Immediate Release: October 23, 2018
Contact: Freeholder Director Robert M. Damminger (856-853-3395) or Freeholder Deputy Director Giuseppe (Joe) Chila (856-853-3382)

National Park voters will test new voting machines that produce paper record

(National Park, NJ) – The State of New Jersey announced it has released funds from the 2018 Help America Vote Act (HAVA) Election Security Grant to establish a Voter Verified Paper Audit Trail (VVPAT) Pilot Program. The goal of this pilot program is to assist counties to begin the process of transitioning from their current paperless voting systems to new voting systems that produce a voter verifiable paper record of each vote cast.

At its regular meeting on Wednesday Oct. 17, 2018 the Gloucester County Board of Chosen Freeholders approved a contract award to Election Systems & Software to provide a new vote tabulation system for the Gloucester County Superintendent of Elections to use in the state pilot program. This pilot program will afford the county the opportunity to explore new voting technologies by using the federal grant money, at no costs to Gloucester County taxpayers, to purchase and test new VVPAT voting systems.

The ES&S’s ExpressVote XL combines a touch screen ballot marking device with a voter verified paper audit trail allowing the voter to review their voting choices to ensure their vote is accurately recorded. The verified audit trail also provides a paper record of the election that can be used for recounts and will be used for audits.

The ExpressVote XL is federally certified by the Election Assistance Commission and the State of New Jersey.

The ExpressVote XL will be used in a pilot program in National Park for the Nov. 6 general election. The Superintendent of Elections is the custodian of all voting machines.

Superintendent of Elections Stephanie Salvatore said that ten voting machines would be piloted at the National Park polling place on Election Day. She said that National Park was chosen because it has only one polling location and for its proximity to the Superintendent of Elections Office.

Representatives from the vendor and from the Superintendent of Elections will be on hand throughout Election Day at the National Park polling location.

###

The County of Gloucester complies with all state and federal rules and regulations and does not discriminate on the basis of age, race, creed, color, national origin, ancestry, marital status, affectional or sexual orientation, gender identity or expression, disability, nationality, sex, veteran status or military service in admission to, access to, or operations of its programs, services, activities or in its employment practices. In addition, Gloucester County encourages the participation of people with disabilities in its programs and activities and offers special services to all County residents 60 years of age and older. Inquiries regarding compliance may be directed to the EEO office at (856) 384-6903 or through the County’s ADA Coordinator at (856) 384-6842/New Jersey Relay Service 711.
### General Election Results

**Gloucester County**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MUNICIPALITIES</th>
<th>Number of Registered Voters</th>
<th>Number of Ballots Cast</th>
<th>Percentage of Ballots Cast</th>
<th>Number of Vote by Mail Ballots Cast</th>
<th>Number of Provisional Ballots Cast</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clayton Boro</td>
<td>5,892</td>
<td>3,182</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>492</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deptford Twp.</td>
<td>22,405</td>
<td>11,288</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>1,286</td>
<td>198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Greenwich Twp.</td>
<td>8,151</td>
<td>4,695</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>957</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elk Twp.</td>
<td>3,295</td>
<td>1,874</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Franklin Twp.</td>
<td>12,058</td>
<td>6,329</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>957</td>
<td>137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glassboro Boro</td>
<td>11,559</td>
<td>5,914</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>754</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenwich Twp.</td>
<td>3,758</td>
<td>2,264</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>542</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harrison Twp.</td>
<td>9,809</td>
<td>5,910</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>896</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logan Twp.</td>
<td>4,452</td>
<td>2,481</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mantua Twp.</td>
<td>11,697</td>
<td>6,785</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>1,293</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monroe Twp.</td>
<td>26,380</td>
<td>13,639</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>1,878</td>
<td>262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Park Boro</td>
<td>2,074</td>
<td>1,010</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newfield Boro</td>
<td>1,223</td>
<td>672</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paulsboro Boro</td>
<td>3,717</td>
<td>1,711</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitman Boro</td>
<td>6,705</td>
<td>4,125</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>612</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Harrison Twp.</td>
<td>2,513</td>
<td>1,488</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swedesboro Boro</td>
<td>1,694</td>
<td>807</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington Twp.</td>
<td>37,408</td>
<td>20,513</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>3,104</td>
<td>386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wenonah Boro</td>
<td>1,910</td>
<td>1,267</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Deptford Twp.</td>
<td>16,322</td>
<td>8,817</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>1,439</td>
<td>181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westville Boro</td>
<td>2,811</td>
<td>1,314</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woodbury City</td>
<td>6,692</td>
<td>3,579</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>477</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woodbury Heights Boro</td>
<td>2,314</td>
<td>1,405</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woolwich Twp.</td>
<td>8,682</td>
<td>4,927</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>976</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Overseas</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**COUNTY TOTAL** 213,521 116,022 54% 17,314 2,199
New Jersey School Boards Association

$333.7 Million for Five Construction Projects on Ballot in Jan. 22 Election

On Tuesday, Jan. 22, 2019, voters in five school districts will act on five school construction ballot questions totaling nearly $333.7 million.

Oxford Township, Warren County

Planned improvements include security vestibule renovations, exterior door and window upgrades and exterior lighting and camera upgrades in the Pre-School-8th grade school. HVAC upgrades are also planned to replace the aging HVAC units and outdated operating system.

Total amount: $2,285,100
State funds: $1,023,018
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STATISTICS</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Election Day Precincts</td>
<td>2 of 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precincts Complete</td>
<td>2 of 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absentee/ Early Precincts</td>
<td>2 of 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Registered Voters - Total</td>
<td>1,652</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ballots Cast - Total</td>
<td>383</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voter Turnout - Total</td>
<td>23.18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Votes Cast</strong></td>
<td><strong>380</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overvotes</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undervotes</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precincts Reporting</td>
<td>2 of 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
10 Year Legacy Cost Estimates

Additional costs to the county NOT included in outright purchase proposal

**ES&S**
- Paper.......................... $180,000
- Post Warranty Maintenance & Support.......................... $912,910
- Ballot Transfer Bags.............. $34,000
- Additional Secure Ballot Containers.............................. $6,000
- Additional On-site Election Support.............................. $4,500

**Total: $1,137,410**

**Clear Ballot**
- Paper.......................... $1,130,000
- Post Warranty Maintenance & Support.......................... $871,245
- Additional Scanners.......................... $627,000
- Privacy Screens/Booths.................$600,000
- Pens..................................... $30,000
- Printer Ink (ADA).................... $160,000
- Additional On-site Election Support.............................. $6,000

**Total: $3,424,245**
Estimated total 10 year cost:

**ES&S**
- Vendor Proposal ........... $2,944,371
- Legacy Costs ............. $1,137,410
- Federal Grant ............ (-$342,000)

**Total: $3,739,791**

**Clear Ballot**
- Vendor Proposal ........... $1,921,032
- Legacy Costs ............. $3,424,245
- Federal Grant ............ (-$342,000)

**Total: $5,003,277**

* See last slide for final negotiated price.