



Second Program Year CAPER

The CPMP Second Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report includes Narrative Responses to CAPER questions that CDBG, HOME, HOPWA, and ESG grantees must respond to each year in order to be compliant with the Consolidated Planning Regulations. The Executive Summary narratives are optional.

The grantee must submit an updated Financial Summary Report (PR26).

GENERAL

Executive Summary

This module is optional but encouraged. If you choose to complete it, provide a brief overview that includes major initiatives and highlights that were proposed and executed throughout the first year.

Program Year 2 CAPER Executive Summary response:

This Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) provides a summary, as required by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), of the County of Northampton, Pennsylvania's performance and accomplishments utilizing federal funds in FFY 2008. These resources include the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) as awarded by HUD for Urban Entitlement Community as well as under the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania's Department of Community and Economic Development, and Emergency Shelter Grant (ESG) funds also awarded through the PA DCED.

In FFY 2008 the County received new allocations of \$1,777,420 in CDBG funds and \$154,338 in ESG funds. In addition, the County received \$482,497 in CDBG Recovery Act funding (CDBG-R) under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act. The accomplishments for these programs are detailed in the various narrative sections below.

In addition to the HUD entitlement programs, the County administers several other Community and economic development programs, including Affordable Housing Program grants funded through local real estate assessments, Brownfield Revolving Loan Funds as awarded by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Local Economic Development Assistance funds through the PA DCED, assistance with Keystone Opportunity and Empowerment Zone tax assessment designations, various funds through the PA Department of Environmental Protection, local hotel tax community promotions grants, a regional Main Street program – the Borough Business Revitalization program, and several initiatives under Northampton County's Industrial Development and General Purpose Authorities. The Department also represents the County with a number of local groups, including the Bi-County Affordable Housing Advisory Committee, the Lehigh Valley Community Land Trust, the Northampton County Housing Authority, the Community Action Committee of the Lehigh Valley, the West Ward Neighborhood Partnership, the Lehigh Valley Land Recycling Initiative, and the Lehigh Valley Chamber of Commerce Foundation. During FFY 2008, the department also assumed the administrative oversight of the

new Gaming Authority in order to support the efforts to provide proceeds to local communities impacted by the new casino in the county.

General Questions

1. Assessment of the one-year goals and objectives:
 - a. Describe the accomplishments in attaining the goals and objectives for the reporting period.
 - b. Provide a breakdown of the CPD formula grant funds spent on grant activities for each goal and objective.
 - c. If applicable, explain why progress was not made towards meeting the goals and objectives.
2. Describe the manner in which the recipient would change its program as a result of its experiences.
3. Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing:
 - a. Provide a summary of impediments to fair housing choice.
 - b. Identify actions taken to overcome effects of impediments identified.
4. Describe Other Actions in Strategic Plan or Action Plan taken to address obstacles to meeting underserved needs.
5. Leveraging Resources
 - a. Identify progress in obtaining "other" public and private resources to address needs.
 - b. How Federal resources from HUD leveraged other public and private resources.
 - c. How matching requirements were satisfied.

Program Year 2 CAPER General Questions response:

For FY 2008, HUD awarded the County of Northampton \$1,777,420. To date \$276,623 of these funds (16%) have been disbursed. In addition, \$659,813, or 36%, of the FY2007 CDBG funds were disbursed within this past year for approved activities. By contrast, during the first year only \$85,709.55 had been disbursed during FY 2007.

An additional, approximate \$350,000 in funds are currently encumbered as of the reporting period for three infrastructure projects, but not yet disbursed. (This includes North Catasauqua Borough's Curb Cuts project, Freemansburg Borough's Street Improvements project, and Wilson Borough's Fisk Field project.) It bears mentioning that \$100,000 in funds which were set aside in FY2007 for "Blight" were obligated to a FY2009 demolition project, likely to be carried out soon. Finally, a FY2007 storm sewer project (Walnutport Borough) which was on-hold is now in the pre-bid and engineering phase, as environmental issues have been resolved to the satisfaction of the State Historic Preservation Office and the Regional Environmental Officer at HUD.

Please see Attachment 1, at the end of this document, for a breakdown of the CPD formula grant funds spent on grant activities.

Projects which are not making progress at a comparable rate include projects from "former entitlement" communities which are still drawing down their own line of State CDBG funds, such as Upper Nazareth Township's Housing Rehab project. At the same time, Northampton County has been understandably cautious in creating their internal Environmental Review processes, based on issues raised by HUD's Regional Environmental officer in October of 2008, so that the Housing Rehab Environmental Review process is not complete. Other issues that hamper progress include, as in the case of Bangor Borough's Stormwater Improvements project, or, similarly but within the State's line of funding, West Easton's sanitary sewer project, inter-agency permitting issues (Bangor awaits approval from the railroad, and West Easton is completing an agreement with the neighboring municipality's sewer authority.) Finally, open-ended and thus somewhat more labor-intensive projects—that is, projects that were not allocated to a particular recipient, such as FY2007's "planning" project, were not prioritized under current Department administration while staffing and capacity were stabilized.

Describe the manner in which the recipient would change its program as a result of its experiences.

Northampton County would like to place an emphasis on proactive, strategic activities as more stabilization is brought to the Department and program. Our planning and economic development funds will become a priority in FY2009, as would newer projects, such as training for contractors in lead-based paint abatement.

The County would also focus on articulating procedures, both for compliance with regulations and for program continuity, as well as developing procedures for meeting federal goals in the use of disadvantaged vendors.

In regards to Affirmative Furthering Fair Housing: we stated in our FY2007 CAPER, "as a result of undertaking this report, Northampton County was made aware of failings in the area of Fair Housing. As a result, we will be under contract in the following fiscal year to create an Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing in the region, and carry out any activities such an analysis recommends in overcoming impediments." To that end, Northampton County contracted for the Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing. While a full report was expected by the time of this report, the Consultant has indicated the need for additional time to create a more comprehensive report in light of recent developments in neighboring areas. Therefore, the final draft of the report is due to be delivered to the County and to the metropolitan planning agency (Lehigh Valley Planning Commission) for review by December 15, 2009. Northampton County will carry out recommended activities during FY2009.

Despite the plan not being finalized, the County has taken steps to ensure compliance with Fair Housing laws. Through planning, it was determined that specific outreach needed to occur to encourage agencies to submit proposals that further fair housing goals. Additionally, we noted that applicant eligibility needed to be broadened to include non-profits, as the previous practice of requiring local governments to request funds on behalf of non-profits resulted in no non-profit requests over a two-year period. This planning resulted in a change to the County's

request for proposals for FY 2009 CDBG projects. NorthPenn Legal Services did receive a grant to offer education, outreach, and legal aid to ensure tenants' rights. This project will commence during FY 2009.

Leveraging Resources: Other funding sources such as Municipal, State, and Federal funds continue to be utilized in order to achieve goals expressed in the Consolidated Plan.

Managing the Process

1. Describe actions taken during the last year to ensure compliance with program and comprehensive planning requirements.

Program Year 2 CAPER Managing the Process response:

Staffing and Capacity

In the first year of the entitlement program, consultant services were secured to assist in meeting grant requirements and a new full-time staff position of CDBG Coordinator was created in Northampton County's Department of Community and Economic Development. As stated in the first program-year CAPER, turnover was realized in that position and the second incumbent received several trainings offered through HUD. That incumbent remained in the position throughout Program Year 2, ensuring continuity and allowing projects to progress in a timely manner.

Intra-agency Cooperation

Intra-agency cooperation helped ensure compliance with program requirements, such as contract management. Within the County Government organization, the Department of Community and Economic Development has continued and/or strengthened its relationship with the Solicitor's office and the County Executive office. In particular, the Community Development Administrator's continued correspondence with the County Solicitor's office over Contract language and principles has given this Department confidence in an area—contracting—which was lacking under previous staff. This expertise and knowledge was sought out both when the ARRA certifications were incorporated into CDBG-R contracts, and when a Right-to-Know request regarding CDBG records was submitted to our office.

Similarly, cooperation and facilitation with the fiscal affairs department of the County Government is necessary to ensure the timely expenditure of funds, a priority both for HUD and for the subgrantees who are currently drawing down funds. By creating the position of CDBG Coordinator, there is effectively a fiscal officer within Northampton County DCED who can dedicate a portion of their work-hours to fiscal affairs. For example, several policies have been drafted through cooperation with the fiscal affairs department and the Department of Community & Economic Development.

Subgrantee guidance and monitoring

A preconstruction memo was instituted as a tool for streamlining the preconstruction process and ensuring that all subgrantees have written guidance on their responsibilities in each step of the preconstruction process, and what to expect from Northampton County DCED in each step, as well. This preconstruction memo was included in all correspondence that was sent out to FY2009 subgrantee municipal officials, managers, and project engineers.

The CDBG Coordinator attends prebid or preconstruction conferences for projects entering into the preconstruction phase to provide instructions and guidance on, especially, labor law compliance, and, the solicitation of disadvantaged enterprises. During Program Year 2, as part of the preconstruction memo, Northampton County provides separate forms and requires that all bidders in CDBG-funded construction projects use these forms to report on solicitation/proposed usage of disadvantaged vendors in their bid package.

Contract management is another tool that the Department of Community & Economic Development is using to guide the timelines of projects. This is also discussed below (see "Monitoring").

ER Training and Compliance

Northampton County was monitored in October, 2008 for its Environmental Review Record of the FY2007 program. The HUD Regional Environmental Officer provided guidance for how to proceed and recommended multiple changes from the procedures that were currently being used by our hired consulting services. As such, Northampton County no longer is utilizing this contractual service for the Environmental Review and performs the Environmental Review in-house, with the Community Development Administrator seeking input from the HUD Regional Environmental Officer as necessary.

Comprehensive Planning

In addition to increasing staffing and developing procedures to ensure compliance with federal requirements, all staff within the County's DCED have been actively engaged with the community to further the objectives outlined in our Five-Year Plan. Staff have participated in many community forums. The second annual regional affordable housing summit convened to address the gap in affordable housing in the Lehigh Valley and to measure progress towards goals that had been set at the first housing summit. During Program Year 2, the regional effort, Lehigh Valley Community Land Trust, was incorporated, and regional local government cooperation was employed in the successful application for NSP funding for the Lehigh Valley Community Land Trust (LVCLT). (The LVCLT will develop and maintain property that will be occupied by low-income residents for a period of at least 99 years).

Citizen Participation

1. Provide a summary of citizen comments.
2. In addition, the performance report provided to citizens must identify the Federal funds made available for furthering the objectives of the Consolidated Plan. For each formula grant program, the grantee shall identify the total amount of funds available (including estimated program income), the total amount of funds committed during the reporting period, the total amount expended during the reporting period, and the geographic distribution and location of expenditures. Jurisdictions are encouraged to include maps in describing the geographic distribution and location of investment (including areas of minority concentration). The geographic distribution and expenditure requirement may also be satisfied by specifying the census tracts where expenditures were concentrated.

*Please note that Citizen Comments and Responses may be included as additional files within the CPMP Tool.

Program Year 2 CAPER Citizen Participation response:

A Public Comment Period and Public Hearing were advertised and held in accordance with federal program regulations. The public hearing will be held on December 7th, 2009 to review the Program Year 2 CAPER. At final submission to HUD, this document will reflect if any comments were received at this time.

This document was available on Northampton County's website with an active link on the menu bar as of the beginning of the public comment period. The website also contains the CDBG Consolidated Plan and Action Plan.

In the second year of Northampton County's CDBG entitlement program, approximately \$2.2 Million (including CDBG-R funds) was available and planned for use across the municipalities of the County. A detailed breakdown is attached to this report as Attachment 1.

Institutional Structure

1. Describe actions taken during the last year to overcome gaps in institutional structures and enhance coordination.

Program Year 2 CAPER Institutional Structure response:

As described in Program Year 1's CAPER, In FY2007, Northampton County DCED helped to institute regular meetings of representatives of our County's Council of Governments (COGs). Four COGs currently exist in the County to identify and address inter-municipal, regionalized issues. Through this new Joint-COG meeting, we have a regular forum to interact with various officials and regularly provide information. In Program Year 2, we have been able to share information on affordable housing planning and zoning policies, and environmental conservation issues--objectives relating to recycling, waste reduction, yard waste and composting programs, household hazardous waste, and electronics recycling.

Monitoring

1. Describe how and the frequency with which you monitored your activities.
2. Describe the results of your monitoring including any improvements.
3. Self Evaluation
 - a. Describe the effect programs have in solving neighborhood and community problems.
 - b. Describe progress in meeting priority needs and specific objectives and help make community's vision of the future a reality.
 - c. Describe how you provided decent housing and a suitable living environment and expanded economic opportunity principally for low and moderate-income persons.

- d. Indicate any activities falling behind schedule.
- e. Describe how activities and strategies made an impact on identified needs.
- f. Identify indicators that would best describe the results.
- g. Identify barriers that had a negative impact on fulfilling the strategies and overall vision.
- h. Identify whether major goals are on target and discuss reasons for those that are not on target.
- i. Identify any adjustments or improvements to strategies and activities that might meet your needs more effectively.

Program Year 2 CAPER Monitoring response:

Program Year 2 CAPER Monitoring response:

In the second year of the program, the County placed an emphasis on labor-law compliance in all of its (many) new construction projects, and on solicitation of disadvantaged vendors. The CDBG Coordinator attended either the pre-bid or the pre-construction conference, or sometimes both, to speak to the County's role in labor-law compliance and outline the responsibilities of contractors, both in adhering to applicable regulations, and explaining these regulations to any subcontractors. As mentioned elsewhere in this document, a preconstruction memo was also employed, and this memo includes forms which all bidders must include with their bid to document the use of disadvantaged vendors.

As described in the First Year CAPER, semi-annual reports are required of all grantees, and blank forms are available on the website. As this becomes more procedural, subgrantees are becoming more accustomed to what is expected of them in this regard, and therefore the CDBG coordinator needs to provide less instruction. Quarterly reports are also expected of CDBG-R subgrantees, and while this was a time-consuming process to administer as subgrantees registered with various programs, subsequent CDBG-R reports will not require the same degree of attention. Northampton County also received guidance from the HUD offices on information to collect for reporting, and therefore our CDBG-R forms can be tailored to this effect.

In the second year of the Entitlement program, Northampton County used Contract Management as a form of project monitoring. Projects from the FY 2007 Action Plan were originally given contract activity periods which ended, not coincidentally, at approximately the same time as the second program year. Therefore, subgrantees who had projects which were not complete essentially had to report for why activities were not completed, as contract addendums were issued—this time for a shorter, one-year time period. Similar strategies were employed with older, State CDBG projects—except for some of these non-active projects, even shorter addendums were issued—for six months only.

Self-Evaluation response:

- a. Describe the effect programs have in solving neighborhood and community problems.**

Washington Township's Sanitary Sewer Improvement project served a neighborhood that had been rated a public health hazard by the Department of Environmental Protection. The households served by this project were in "urgent need".

As stated in the first Northampton County CAPER, the fire truck acquisition by Wilson Borough is a necessary public service for a low-income borough that contains a

dense population—an “intensively developed old urban community”, with multiple land uses, including a regional hospital, apartment complexes, senior high-rise housing, industrial sites, four school sites, and tow large commercial mall areas. The housing is older, pre-code construction. (This is the second year Northampton County will fund payments on the aerial ladder fire truck through its’ CDBG Entitlement Program.)

Curb Cuts projects in Hellertown Borough and North Catasauqua Borough will provide for accessibility for all persons---providing a suitable living environment for the entire community. Especially, in Hellertown Borough, where a complaint was filed with the Americans with Disabilities Act, the CDBG funding will allow Hellertown Borough to install curb cuts throughout the Borough, and “ensure that the pedestrian network in the Borough is readily accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities”, as stated by Hellertown’s Borough Manager. (The Hellertown Borough project is a multi-year project, which began with the State CDBG line-of-funding, and continues under the Entitlement CDBG program. The project is actively under construction at this time. The North Catasauqua Borough Project is similarly a multi-year program, funded first under the State CDBG program, and then under the Entitlement Program. During the reporting period, the North Catasauqua project went out to bid and entered into a construction contract.)

Other projects both affect the infrastructure of the community, and appear to directly assist low-income persons, as is the case with the Northampton County projects which both construct sanitary sewer mains, and also administer “tap-in assistance” grants directly to qualified persons who are low-income. This type of project is currently being carried out in Portland Borough (under the State program), and Glendon Borough (a multi-year program funded both under the State and the Entitlement program).

b. Describe progress in meeting priority needs and specific objectives and help make community’s vision of the future a reality.

Northampton County, while urbanized, has many boroughs/areas with low-to-moderate income persons that are in need of basic infrastructure. Projects such as ADA-accessable curb cuts, the assistance with provision of sanitary sewers, and the securing of a new well source, are all clear indicators of this priority to provide basic infrastructure. At the same time, the use of funds, in planning and business façade improvements show a commitment towards the revitalization of neighborhood centers, especially in older downtowns in the boroughs—and a vision of that what that these communities may provide in the future.

c. Describe how you provided decent housing and a suitable living environment and expanded economic opportunity principally for low and moderate-income persons.

A suitable living environment is a priority for Northampton County, as described in the Consolidated Plan. And, as mentioned above, while Northampton County’s population grew considerably recently, many areas of the County are still in need of basic infrastructure. Several basic infrastructure projects were carried out quickly during this reporting period. Nazareth Borough completed its storm sewer improvements project. Pen Argyl Borough completed two separate street improvements projects (one funded by the State line of funding, the second, by the

Entitlement program). East Bangor Borough continues its multi-year, “new well source” project. And Plainfield Township carried out a sanitary sewer improvements project in the West Pen Argyl area. Three of these four projects, by no coincidence, are located in the County’s “Slate Belt”, a northern belt across the County in need of redevelopment, especially in the older boroughs. This indicates the County’s priority of providing a suitable living environment, where otherwise cash-poor local governments may not be able to carry out the programs.

In a different, but still important, type of emphasis on a “suitable living environment”, Northampton County administered two recreation improvements projects this year: a smaller park improvements project carried out by force account work and quickly completed (Hanover Township), and a larger, multi-year project in Wilson Borough was in the bidding and preconstruction phase as of the reporting timeframe. Wilson Borough’s park will provide a new set of ballfields in a highly urbanized, built-up, borough.

d. Indicate any activities falling behind schedule.

- The Borough of West Easton’s sanitary sewer project—a project under Northampton’s contract with State DCED, was delayed while the Borough negotiates questions of “right of way” with the neighboring city. The engineer reports some progress has been made on this issue, but not before enough to start the construction phase of the project.
- Another project under contract with State DCED, Wind Gap Borough storm sewer improvements, has permitting issues, as well as possible capacity issues as a smaller borough. Administratively, Northampton County has been making progress in closing-out some of these older, back-logged projects as more capacity is put in place in the CDBG program, but some of these older projects still remain.
- Similarly, Bangor Borough’s storm sewer improvements project, under the CDBG Entitlement program, has only begun the preconstruction phase, also due to permitting issues—largely, right-of-way issues with the railroad.
- While Bath Borough’s (multi-year) Planning project was making continual progress, in this reporting period, activity has slowed. In this case, this may be attributed to lack of economic activity and therefore a perceived lack of planning needs.
- Walnutport Borough’s stormwater improvements project was under delay due to an Environmental Review issue. As stated above, Northampton County is being cautious in its Environmental Review activities, based on monitoring by HUD’s Regional Environmental officer. However, this issue has been resolved to the satisfaction of the Environmental officer and to all parties involved, and the project is now in the preconstruction phase.
- Several projects that were projects of former entitlements jurisdictions but are now under the Northampton County Entitlement program are delayed in drawing down funds while they continue to drawdown their State line of funding. This includes Upper Nazareth Township’s Housing Rehabilitation project and the Township’s Sanitary Sewer project. The Township has retained the services of an area consultant to administer the program, so the County has reason to find capacity in administering the program, despite the delay.
- Finally, Northampton County is still in the process of developing its Environmental Review process for Housing Rehabilitation project, using due caution, as described above.

d. Describe how activities and strategies made an impact on identified needs.

Hellertown and North Catasauqua's Curb Cuts projects will make a tangible, visible impact on their communities and affect not only persons with disabilities, but bring improvement to the communities as a whole. Other activities, that could be more readily described as infrastructure, and that are not as easily "seen" to the average passer-by, will still make a significant impact on creating a suitable living environment for Northampton County residents. The sanitary sewer improvement projects in Glendon and Portland Boroughs, for example, or the new well source in East Bangor, will allow a certain standard of living to be achieved by persons in those communities.

f. Identify indicators that would best describe the results.

When looking at infrastructure projects (as are most of Northampton County's CDBG projects currently,) these projects serve entire communities, or blocks of communities, and therefore one of the clearest ways to measure progress would be the number of households served. For Glendon and Portland's sanitary sewer improvements, for instance, the funding for the sewer main affect the entire neighborhoods served by the sewer line. Further, we would look at how many household received tap-in assistance in the form of individual grants.

g. Identify barriers that had a negative impact on fulfilling the strategies and overall vision.

External events affected the Program to some degree, as in the case of Bath's planning project slowing down as the economy slowed down. Projects were delayed to permitting issues, such as Bangor Borough's storm water project. Still, it may serve to compare Bangor Borough's case to the case of Wind Gap—whereas Bangor Borough has an experienced Borough Manager on staff to effectively manage such issues, Wind Gap Borough, without such capacity, is struggling.

Internally, there is a certain amount of back-log of projects as current staff and department administration work with a CDBG program that suffered some neglect during times of staff and administration transition. Comparing progress from the first year CAPER to the second year CAPER indicates that currently, the CDBG program is considerably more timely in its expenditure of funds.

As such, administrative policies are still being put in place by Northampton County DCED. For instance, we have moved to a quarterly calendar to solicit the requests for project modifications, rather than handling each request on a case-by-case basis and taking each request separately to County Council—in order to save time. And a preconstruction memo was instituted which is now issued to each new project

h. Identify whether major goals are on target and discuss reasons for those that are not on target.

In regards to Northampton Count's self-identified goal of program efficacy: as the County has been able to accomplish a timely expenditure of funds, and further has

proceeded to administer the “back-log” of activities so that many older projects are in progress or completed, it can be said that we are meeting our goals.

However, we still have many goals that we are striving towards: continuing to administer our projects in a streamlined and timely manner, articulating our procedures for the purposes of meeting program regulations and also for internal continuity, and developing policies to meet federal requirements for disadvantaged vendors and to affirmatively further fair housing.

i. Identify any adjustments or improvements to strategies and activities that might meet your needs more effectively.

Northampton County DCED’s will continue to use new technology, such as the Department website for guidance information, and electronic, fill-able application forms.

In the upcoming program year, the County will need to institute policies for the use of Section 3 businesses, especially (in addition to minority-owned and women-owned businesses), based on recent guidance issued by the Federal Government.

Lead-based Paint

1. Describe actions taken during the last year to evaluate and reduce lead-based paint hazards.

Program Year 2 CAPER Lead-based Paint response:

Although primarily this item is not applicable for activities conducted to date, funds were allocated by Northampton County DCED administration in the FY2009 Action Plan for training for contractors providing lead-based paint remediation.

HOUSING

Housing Needs

*Please also refer to the Housing Needs Table in the Needs.xls workbook.

1. Describe Actions taken during the last year to foster and maintain affordable housing.

Program Year 2 CAPER Housing Needs response:

Northampton County Department of Community & Economic Development has dedicated a portion of its resources to several new affordable housing resources over the past year. Staff actively participate on the Lehigh Valley Coalition on Affordable Housing and its subcommittees. At the Affordable Housing Summit convened by this group, and in subsequent meetings, the goal was to measure the progress toward the original ten recommendations to further the availability of affordable housing. (And further, to identify areas that still need progress.) One of these progress-markers is the creation and incorporation of the Lehigh Valley Community Land Trust. (The LVCLT will develop and maintain property that will be occupied by low-

income residents for a period of at least 99 years.) These activities specifically work toward meeting Goal 1 of our housing objectives, " Increase the supply of affordable rental housing units for elderly and low-income households. In addition, the Administrator of the DCED was appointed to the Board of the Northampton County Housing Authority in 2007 and is part of a 4-member strategic planning committee to look at options for expanding the capacity of the authority to serve more people.

Our efforts toward our 3rd and 4th housing goals, related to housing rehab, can be seen in our efforts to become compliant in the Environmental Review process for housing rehab, and also by including a project in our FY2009 Action Plan to fund training for contractors in lead-based paint abatement.

We have continued to make progress on meeting both objectives of our 5th goal: increase low-income homeownership opportunities. Utilizing local housing funds, we have supported one local non-profit who provides pre-purchase financial counseling to prospective homeowners. These individuals then may also work with select local financial institutions with strong records of CRA compliance to buy their first homes. The same local funds provide up to \$5,000 in closing cost assistance.

Specific Housing Objectives

1. Evaluate progress in meeting specific objective of providing affordable housing, including the number of extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income renter and owner households comparing actual accomplishments with proposed goals during the reporting period.
2. Evaluate progress in providing affordable housing that meets the Section 215 definition of affordable housing for rental and owner households comparing actual accomplishments with proposed goals during the reporting period.
3. Describe efforts to address "worst-case" housing needs and housing needs of persons with disabilities.

Program Year 2 CAPER Specific Housing Objectives response:

Administrative initiatives and activities funded with other funds have been underway by the DCED to meet these needs. For instance, staff have served on the Steering Committee and Rental Subcommittees of the Lehigh Valley Coalition on Affordable Housing, the Northampton County Housing Authority, and the Board of Directors of the Community Action Committee of the Lehigh Valley, all of which work to implement strategies for meeting these needs.

In addition, the DCED administered local housing funds and state Emergency Shelter Grant (ESG) funds. These programs primarily serve those who are homeless or are very low-income with housing needs. Under the County's ESG grant, seven shelter and homeless prevention programs received subgrants for rehab, essential service, homeless prevention and operational support. Additionally, the DCED uses local housing funds to support an agreement between the County's Mental Health Department. This program provides short-term rental assistance to individuals transitioning out of a care setting. Assistance decreases incrementally over time to encourage self-sufficiency.

Although not discussed in detail in this report, the County was also awarded federal entitlement and state formula funds under the Homelessness Prevention and Rapid Re-Housing (HPRP) programs authorized under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009. In total, over \$1.1 million will be provided to non-profits serving the entire County to offer financial assistance and case management services to households at or below 50% AMI. These funds specifically provide homelessness prevention to renters facing eviction or utility shut-off and provide rental assistance to persons who have recently become homeless due to the nation's financial recession. In addition to ensuring that services would be available in both English and Spanish, the County also targeted funds to programs serving three distinct constituencies that are often underserved: a) persons with disabilities, b) young adults transitioning out of foster care, and c) persons living in the geographically-isolated northern tier of the County, the "Slate Belt".

Public Housing Strategy

1. Describe actions taken during the last year to improve public housing and resident initiatives.

Program Year 2 CAPER Public Housing Strategy response:

In 2007, the DCED's Community Development Administrator was appointed to a term on the Northampton County Housing Authority (NCHA) by the County Executive (and subsequently re-appointed in 2008). The Community Development Administrator is very active in this position and is overseeing a transition as the Housing Authority becomes an agency independent from the County. One specific initiative has been to expand the policies of the PHA to better reach and identify Section 3 candidates for employment and contract opportunities.

In addition, as noted in our strategies, we would work with the Easton Housing Authority as it proceeds with its Hope VI initiative. The DCED has served on the advisory committee of this project and has committed \$300,000 of local housing funds to leverage additional development financing.

Barriers to Affordable Housing

1. Describe actions taken during the last year to eliminate barriers to affordable housing.

Program Year 2 CAPER Barriers to Affordable Housing response:

Barriers to affordable housing in the Lehigh Valley, as stated in our Action Plan, include the relatively strong housing market and low vacancy rates of the area; and the relatively high cost of land of the area. The aforementioned Bi-County Affordable Housing Coordinator position was created in June 2008 to try to work with non-profits, developers and lenders as a way to coordinate deals that lead to projects through subsidies such as Low Income Housing Tax Credits, Federal Home Loan Bank financing, USDA loans, etc. As previously mentioned, the newly incorporated Lehigh

Valley Community Land Trust was created as a regional effort by various professionals as a way to pool resources and expertise in order to increase the stock of affordable homes in the area and maintain their affordability indefinitely through a shared equity model.

Barriers to housing rehabilitation include a continuing lack of contractors certified in lead-based paint regulations, which was thus incorporated as a priority in the development of our FY 2009 action plan.

HOME/ American Dream Down Payment Initiative (ADDI)

1. Assessment of Relationship of HOME Funds to Goals and Objectives
 - a. Evaluate progress made toward meeting goals for providing affordable housing using HOME funds, including the number and types of households served.
2. HOME Match Report
 - a. Use HOME Match Report HUD-40107-A to report on match contributions for the period covered by the Consolidated Plan program year.
3. HOME MBE and WBE Report
 - a. Use Part III of HUD Form 40107 to report contracts and subcontracts with Minority Business Enterprises (MBEs) and Women's Business Enterprises (WBEs).
4. Assessments
 - a. Detail results of on-site inspections of rental housing.
 - b. Describe the HOME jurisdiction's affirmative marketing actions.
 - c. Describe outreach to minority and women owned businesses.

Program Year 2 CAPER HOME/ADDI response:

Not Applicable.

HOMELESS

Homeless Needs

*Please also refer to the Homeless Needs Table in the Needs.xls workbook.

1. Identify actions taken to address needs of homeless persons.
2. Identify actions to help homeless persons make the transition to permanent housing and independent living.
3. Identify new Federal resources obtained from Homeless SuperNOFA.

Program Year 2 CAPER Homeless Needs response:

Staff have served on the Steering Committee of the Lehigh Valley Coalition on Affordable Housing, the Northampton County Housing Authority, and the Board of

Directors of the Community Action Committee of the Lehigh Valley, all of which work to implement strategies for meeting the needs of very low income and homeless persons.

In addition, the DCED administered local housing funds, state Emergency Shelter Grant (ESG) funds, and the newly-awarded Homelessness Prevention & Rapid Re-Housing funds. These programs primarily serve those who are homeless or are very low-income with housing needs, all of whom are participating members of the Lehigh Valley's Continuum of Care. Under the County's ESG grant, seven shelter and homeless prevention programs received subgrants for rehab, essential service, homeless prevention and operational support. Additionally, the DCED uses local housing funds to support an agreement between the County's Mental Health Department. This program provides short-term rental assistance to individuals transitioning out of a care setting. Assistance decreases incrementally over time to encourage self-sufficiency.

Specific Homeless Prevention Elements

1. Identify actions taken to prevent homelessness.

Program Year 2 CAPER Specific Housing Prevention Elements response:

In serving as the ESG and HPRP funding administrator and through supporting County shelters through local housing funds, the DCED is regularly communicating with the shelters about their needs. In addition, the County was approached by the Regional Homelessness Advisory Board of the Northeast Regional Continuum of Care to discuss its willingness to adopt and implement a Plan to End Chronic Homelessness, as was done in the City of Allentown. In partnership with the Cities of Bethlehem and Easton, the County has been working to create an advisory committee to create this plan during 2010.

Emergency Shelter Grants (ESG)

1. Identify actions to address emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless individuals and families (including significant subpopulations such as those living on the streets).
2. Assessment of Relationship of ESG Funds to Goals and Objectives
 - a. Evaluate progress made in using ESG funds to address homeless and homeless prevention needs, goals, and specific objectives established in the Consolidated Plan.
 - b. Detail how ESG projects are related to implementation of comprehensive homeless planning strategy, including the number and types of individuals and persons in households served with ESG funds.
3. Matching Resources
 - a. Provide specific sources and amounts of new funding used to meet match as required by 42 USC 11375(a)(1), including cash resources, grants, and staff salaries, as well as in-kind contributions such as the value of a building or lease, donated materials, or volunteer time.

4. State Method of Distribution
 - a. States must describe their method of distribution and how it rated and selected its local government agencies and private nonprofit organizations acting as subrecipients.
5. Activity and Beneficiary Data
 - a. Completion of attached Emergency Shelter Grant Program Performance Chart or other reports showing ESGP expenditures by type of activity. Also describe any problems in collecting, reporting, and evaluating the reliability of this information.
 - b. Homeless Discharge Coordination
 - i. As part of the government developing and implementing a homeless discharge coordination policy, ESG homeless prevention funds may be used to assist very-low income individuals and families at risk of becoming homeless after being released from publicly funded institutions such as health care facilities, foster care or other youth facilities, or corrections institutions or programs.
 - c. Explain how your government is instituting a homeless discharge coordination policy, and how ESG homeless prevention funds are being used in this effort.

Program Year 2 CAPER ESG response:

Not applicable for Federal Entitlement program. See Attachment 1 for a breakdown of State Entitlement ESG grants.

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

Community Development

*Please also refer to the Community Development Table in the Needs.xls workbook.

1. Assessment of Relationship of CDBG Funds to Goals and Objectives
 - a. Assess use of CDBG funds in relation to the priorities, needs, goals, and specific objectives in the Consolidated Plan, particularly the highest priority activities.
 - b. Evaluate progress made toward meeting goals for providing affordable housing using CDBG funds, including the number and types of households served.
 - c. Indicate the extent to which CDBG funds were used for activities that benefited extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income persons.
2. Changes in Program Objectives
 - a. Identify the nature of and the reasons for any changes in program objectives and how the jurisdiction would change its program as a result of its experiences.
3. Assessment of Efforts in Carrying Out Planned Actions
 - a. Indicate how grantee pursued all resources indicated in the Consolidated Plan.
 - b. Indicate how grantee provided certifications of consistency in a fair and impartial manner.
 - c. Indicate how grantee did not hinder Consolidated Plan implementation by action or willful inaction.

4. For Funds Not Used for National Objectives
 - a. Indicate how use of CDBG funds did not meet national objectives.
 - b. Indicate how did not comply with overall benefit certification.
5. Anti-displacement and Relocation – for activities that involve acquisition, rehabilitation or demolition of occupied real property
 - a. Describe steps actually taken to minimize the amount of displacement resulting from the CDBG-assisted activities.
 - b. Describe steps taken to identify households, businesses, farms or nonprofit organizations who occupied properties subject to the Uniform Relocation Act or Section 104(d) of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, as amended, and whether or not they were displaced, and the nature of their needs and preferences.
 - c. Describe steps taken to ensure the timely issuance of information notices to displaced households, businesses, farms, or nonprofit organizations.
6. Low/Mod Job Activities – for economic development activities undertaken where jobs were made available but not taken by low- or moderate-income persons
 - a. Describe actions taken by grantee and businesses to ensure first consideration was or will be given to low/mod persons.
 - b. List by job title of all the permanent jobs created/retained and those that were made available to low/mod persons.
 - c. If any of jobs claimed as being available to low/mod persons require special skill, work experience, or education, provide a description of steps being taken or that will be taken to provide such skills, experience, or education.
7. Low/Mod Limited Clientele Activities – for activities not falling within one of the categories of presumed limited clientele low and moderate income benefit
 - a. Describe how the nature, location, or other information demonstrates the activities benefit a limited clientele at least 51% of whom are low- and moderate-income.
8. Program income received
 - a. Detail the amount of program income reported that was returned to each individual revolving fund, e.g., housing rehabilitation, economic development, or other type of revolving fund.
 - b. Detail the amount repaid on each float-funded activity.
 - c. Detail all other loan repayments broken down by the categories of housing rehabilitation, economic development, or other.
 - d. Detail the amount of income received from the sale of property by parcel.
9. Prior period adjustments – where reimbursement was made this reporting period for expenditures (made in previous reporting periods) that have been disallowed, provide the following information:
 - a. The activity name and number as shown in IDIS;
 - b. The program year(s) in which the expenditure(s) for the disallowed activity(ies) was reported;
 - c. The amount returned to line-of-credit or program account; and
 - d. Total amount to be reimbursed and the time period over which the reimbursement is to be made, if the reimbursement is made with multi-year payments.

10. Loans and other receivables
 - a. List the principal balance for each float-funded activity outstanding as of the end of the reporting period and the date(s) by which the funds are expected to be received.
 - b. List the total number of other loans outstanding and the principal balance owed as of the end of the reporting period.
 - c. List separately the total number of outstanding loans that are deferred or forgivable, the principal balance owed as of the end of the reporting period, and the terms of the deferral or forgiveness.
 - d. Detail the total number and amount of loans made with CDBG funds that have gone into default and for which the balance was forgiven or written off during the reporting period.
 - e. Provide a List of the parcels of property owned by the grantee or its subrecipients that have been acquired or improved using CDBG funds and that are available for sale as of the end of the reporting period.
11. Lump sum agreements
 - a. Provide the name of the financial institution.
 - b. Provide the date the funds were deposited.
 - c. Provide the date the use of funds commenced.
 - d. Provide the percentage of funds disbursed within 180 days of deposit in the institution.
12. Housing Rehabilitation – for each type of rehabilitation program for which projects/units were reported as completed during the program year
 - a. Identify the type of program and number of projects/units completed for each program.
 - b. Provide the total CDBG funds involved in the program.
 - c. Detail other public and private funds involved in the project.
13. Neighborhood Revitalization Strategies – for grantees that have HUD-approved neighborhood revitalization strategies
 - a. Describe progress against benchmarks for the program year. For grantees with Federally-designated EZs or ECs that received HUD approval for a neighborhood revitalization strategy, reports that are required as part of the EZ/EC process shall suffice for purposes of reporting progress.

Program Year 2 CAPER Community Development response:

Our Consolidated/Action plan states that “the Primary Objective of the Northampton County non-housing community development activities is the provision of a suitable living environment...”. Northampton County Entitlement CDBG continues to administer, largely, infrastructure development in low- to moderate-income neighborhoods. Therefore, our projects are largely in line with our stated goals.

At the same time, as we are prompted to “indicate how grantee did not hinder Consolidated Plan implementation by action or willful inaction”, it is worth noting that Northampton County actively participated in regional affordable housing planning; that Department Administration sat on the Board of the Northampton County Public Housing Authority; and that the Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing was initiated and completed during FY 2008 (and that these particular activities, while not stated directly in our FY2008 Action Plan, do meet the goals of the Consolidated Plan).

Antipoverty Strategy

1. Describe actions taken during the last year to reduce the number of persons living below the poverty level.

Program Year 2 CAPER Antipoverty Strategy response:

Northampton County Department of Community and Economic Development has been actively working with the Community Action Committee of the Lehigh Valley--a comprehensive ant-poverty agency with many subsidiary programs, in addition to the list of agencies found in our Consolidated Plan. The Community Development Administrator of the department is very active on the Northampton County Housing Authority Board, a complementary aspect to our Antipoverty Strategy.

NON-HOMELESS SPECIAL NEEDS

Non-homeless Special Needs

*Please also refer to the Non-homeless Special Needs Table in the Needs.xls workbook.

1. Identify actions taken to address special needs of persons that are not homeless but require supportive housing, (including persons with HIV/AIDS and their families).

Program Year 2 CAPER Non-homeless Special Needs response:

Northampton County Department of Community & Economic Development contracts with Neighborhood Housing Services and Lehigh Valley Center for Independent Living, two providers which will rehabilitate homes, including modifications for accessibility. The County also operates Gracedale County Nursing Home. Additionally, the formative steps of a bi-county health department (with neighboring Lehigh County) have occurred since the first year CAPER.

Specific HOPWA Objectives

*Please also refer to the HOPWA Table in the Needs.xls workbook.

1. Overall Assessment of Relationship of HOPWA Funds to Goals and Objectives
Grantees should demonstrate through the CAPER and related IDIS reports the progress they are making at accomplishing identified goals and objectives with HOPWA funding. Grantees should demonstrate:
 - a. That progress is being made toward meeting the HOPWA goal for providing affordable housing using HOPWA funds and other resources for persons with HIV/AIDS and their families through a comprehensive community plan;
 - b. That community-wide HIV/AIDS housing strategies are meeting HUD's national goal of increasing the availability of decent, safe, and affordable housing for low-income persons living with HIV/AIDS;
 - c. That community partnerships between State and local governments and community-based non-profits are creating models and innovative strategies

- to serve the housing and related supportive service needs of persons living with HIV/AIDS and their families;
- d. That through community-wide strategies Federal, State, local, and other resources are matched with HOPWA funding to create comprehensive housing strategies;
 - e. That community strategies produce and support actual units of housing for persons living with HIV/AIDS; and finally,
 - f. That community strategies identify and supply related supportive services in conjunction with housing to ensure the needs of persons living with HIV/AIDS and their families are met.
2. This should be accomplished by providing an executive summary (1-5 pages) that includes:
- a. Grantee Narrative
 - i. Grantee and Community Overview
 - (1) A brief description of your organization, the area of service, the name of each project sponsor and a broad overview of the range/type of housing activities and related services
 - (2) How grant management oversight of project sponsor activities is conducted and how project sponsors are selected
 - (3) A description of the local jurisdiction, its need, and the estimated number of persons living with HIV/AIDS
 - (4) A brief description of the planning and public consultations involved in the use of HOPWA funds including reference to any appropriate planning document or advisory body
 - (5) What other resources were used in conjunction with HOPWA funded activities, including cash resources and in-kind contributions, such as the value of services or materials provided by volunteers or by other individuals or organizations
 - (6) Collaborative efforts with related programs including coordination and planning with clients, advocates, Ryan White CARE Act planning bodies, AIDS Drug Assistance Programs, homeless assistance programs, or other efforts that assist persons living with HIV/AIDS and their families.
 - ii. Project Accomplishment Overview
 - (1) A brief summary of all housing activities broken down by three types: emergency or short-term rent, mortgage or utility payments to prevent homelessness; rental assistance; facility based housing, including development cost, operating cost for those facilities and community residences
 - (2) The number of units of housing which have been created through acquisition, rehabilitation, or new construction since 1993 with any HOPWA funds
 - (3) A brief description of any unique supportive service or other service delivery models or efforts
 - (4) Any other accomplishments recognized in your community due to the use of HOPWA funds, including any projects in developmental stages that are not operational.
 - iii. Barriers or Trends Overview
 - (1) Describe any barriers encountered, actions in response to barriers, and recommendations for program improvement

- (2) Trends you expect your community to face in meeting the needs of persons with HIV/AIDS, and
 - (3) Any other information you feel may be important as you look at providing services to persons with HIV/AIDS in the next 5-10 years
- b. Accomplishment Data
- i. Completion of CAPER Performance Chart 1 of Actual Performance in the provision of housing (Table II-1 to be submitted with CAPER).
 - ii. Completion of CAPER Performance Chart 2 of Comparison to Planned Housing Actions (Table II-2 to be submitted with CAPER).

Program Year 2 CAPER Specific HOPWA Objectives response:

Not Applicable.

OTHER NARRATIVE

Include any CAPER information that was not covered by narratives in any other section.

Program Year 2 CAPER Other Narrative response:

Not Applicable.

ATTACHMENT 1

CDBG Entitlement Program FY 2008 CDBG Northampton County						
Sub-grantee	Project Type	Census Tract	Amount Granted	Drawn thru Program Year	Drawn in Program year	Balance Remaining
Upper Nazareth	Sanitary Sewer Improvement	CT 167/BG 1 & 2	\$103,774.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 103,774.00
Northampton Borough	Owner-Occupied Housing Rehab	CT 162.01/BG 1,2,3,4,& 5	\$239,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$239,000.00
Northampton Borough	Street Improvement	CT 162.01/BG 3	\$21,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$21,000.00
Wilson Borough	Recreation Improvement	CT 172/BG 1	\$84,848.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$84,848.00
Wilson Borough	Fire Truck Acquisition	CT 172/BG 1	\$58,485.00	\$58,485.00	\$58,485.00	\$ -
Glendon Borough	Sanitary Sewer Improvement	CT 181/BG 1	\$200,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 200,000.00
Northampton Borough	ADA Curb Cuts	CT 162.01/BG 2, 3 & 4	\$68,464.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 68,464.00
Hellertown Borough	ADA Curb Cuts	CT 179.01 /BG 1,2,3 & 4	\$179,600.00	\$ 168,699.00	\$ 168,699.00	\$ 10,901.00
Plainfield Township	Sanitary Sewer Improvement	CT 155/BG 2	\$52,617.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 52,617.00
North Catasauqua Borough	ADA Curb Cuts	CT 163/BG 1 & 2	\$135,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 135,000.00
Freemansburg Borough	Street Improvement	CT 178/BG 1	\$126,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 126,000.00
Pen Argyl Borough	Street Improvement	CT 156/BG 3	\$248,873.00	\$ 248,873.00	\$248,873.00	\$ -
Treevitalize Program		County-wide	\$28,694.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 28,694.00
Admin		County-Wide	\$231,065.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 231,065.00
Totals			\$1,777,420.00	\$476,057.00	\$476,057.00	\$1,301,363.00

CDBG Entitlement Program FY 2007 CDBG Northampton County						
Sub-grantee	Project Type	Census Tract	Amount Granted	Drawn thru Program Year	Drawn in Program year	Balance Remaining
Upper Nazareth	Housing Rehabilitation	CT 167/BG 1 & 2	\$103,774.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 103,774.00
Bangor Borough	Water Sewer Storm Drainage	CT 152.01/BG 3	\$229,248.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 229,248.00
Northampton Borough	Parking Facilities	CT 162.01/BG 1, 2, 3, 4	\$40,385.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 40,385.00
Wilson Borough	Recreation Improvement	CT 172/BG 1	\$82,515.00	\$15,674.47	\$15,674.47	\$ 66,840.53
Wilson Borough	Fire Truck Acquisition	CT 172/BG 1	\$58,485.00	\$58,485.00	\$ -	\$ -
East Bangor Borough	New Well Source and Controls	CT 152.02/BG 1	\$200,000.00	\$25,169.69	\$25,169.69	\$ 174,830.31
Northampton Borough	Street Improvement	CT 162.01/BG 3 & 4	\$97,500.00	\$ 88,743.52	\$61,518.97	\$ 8,756.48
Northampton Borough	Storm Water Improvement	CT 162.01/BG 4	\$14,242.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 14,242.00
Plainfield Township	Sanitary Sewer Improvement	CT 155/BG 2	\$303,975.00	\$134,310.83	\$134,310.83	\$ 169,664.17
Walnutport Borough	S. Canal St. Stormwater Project	CT 161/BG 2	\$119,287.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 119,287.00
Washington Township	Sewer Main Extension	CT 153/BG 1	\$149,090.00	\$149,090.00	\$149,090.00	\$ -
Economic Development	Façade Renovation	County-Wide	\$25,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 25,000.00
Blight Elimination	Demolition	County-Wide	\$100,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 100,000.00
Planning		County-Wide	\$80,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 80,000.00
Admin		County-Wide	\$236,734.00	\$148,149.12	\$148,149.12	\$ 88,584.88
Totals			\$1,840,235.00	\$619,622.63	\$513,913.08	\$1,220,612.37

CDBG-R Grants Entitlement Program					
Municipality	Project Type	Amount Granted	Drawn Thru Program Year	Drawn in Program Year	Balance Remaining
Pen Argyl Borough	Street Improvements	\$200,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$200,000.00
Washington Township	Sanitary Sewer Improvements	\$36,982.00	\$2,018.71	\$2,018.71	\$34,963.29
Bangor Borough	Storm Sewer Improvements	\$150,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$150,000.00
Hellertown Borough	ADA Curb Cuts	\$83,515.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$83,515.00

2006 CDBG Grants – State CDBG Program (with drawdowns in FY 2008)					
Municipality	Project Type	Amount Granted	Drawn Thru Program Year	Drawn in Program Year	Balance Remaining
Pen Argyl Borough	Street Improvements	\$58,655.00	\$58,655.00	\$58,655.00	\$ -
East Bangor Borough	New Well Source	\$63,655.00	\$63,655.00	\$33,214.08	\$ -
Nazareth Borough	Storm Sewer Improvements	\$50,000.00	\$50,000.00	\$50,000.00	\$ -
Bath Borough	Planning	\$30,000.00	\$2,278.32	\$2,278.32	\$27,721.68
Hanover Township	Recreation Improvements	\$15,000.00	\$15,000.00	\$15,000.00	\$ -
Hellertown Borough	ADA Curb Cuts	\$25,000.00	\$7,966.41	\$7,966.41	\$17,033.59
Glendon Borough	Sanitary Sewer Improvements	\$35,000.00	\$22,016.89	\$22,016.89	\$12,983.11
Admin Funds	Administration	\$60,000.00	\$60,000.00	\$59,237.00	\$ -
2005 CDBG Grants (with drawdowns in FY 2008)					
Municipality	Project Type	Amount Granted	Drawn Thru Program Year	Drawn in Program Year	Balance Remaining
Pen Argyl Borough	Street Improvements	\$80,000.00	\$80,000.00	\$80,000.00	\$ -
Nazareth Borough	Storm Sewer Improvements	\$63,803.04	\$63,803.04	\$63,803.04	\$ -
Bath Borough	Planning	\$26,783.66	\$26,783.66	\$16,968.83	\$ -
Portland Borough	Sanitary Sewer Improvements	\$159,000.00	\$126,776.28	\$90,776.28	\$32,223.72
West Easton Borough	Sanitary Sewer Improvements	\$60,000.00	\$8,000.00	\$8,000.00	\$52,000.00
Admin Funds	Administration	\$85,937.92	\$85,937.92	\$85,937.92	\$ -
2004 CDBG Grants (with drawdowns in FY 2008)					
Municipality	Project Type	Amount Granted	Drawn Thru Program Year	Drawn in Program Year	Balance Remaining
Glendon Borough	Sanitary Sewer Installation	\$200,000.00	\$30,378.21	\$169,621.79	\$ -
Bangor Borough	Planning	\$10,000.00	\$10,000.00	\$10,000.00	\$ -
Admin Funds	Administration	\$77,264.00	\$77,264.00	\$26,714.13	\$ -

PA FY2008 ESG-State Entitlement Grants (FY 2008 Activity)					
Recipient	Project Type	Amount Granted	Drawn Thru Program Year	Drawn in Program Year	Balance Remaining
Catholic Charities	Homelessness Prevention	\$24,375.00	\$20,291.42	\$20,291.42	\$4,083.58
Community Action Committee of the Lehigh Valley	Shelter Operations	\$19,500.00	\$19,500.00	\$19,500.00	\$0
Easton Area Neighborhood Center	Shelter Upgrades	\$25,600.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$25,600.00
New Bethany Ministries	Shelter Upgrades	\$2,532.00	\$2,532.00	\$2,532.00	\$0
Turning Point of Lehigh Valley	Shelter Renovations	\$7,044.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$7,044.00
Victory House of Lehigh Valley	Essential Services	\$16,500.00	\$9,300.00	\$9,300.00	\$7,200.00
Victory House of the Lehigh Valley	Shelter Operations	\$40,000.00	\$22,629.00	\$22,629.00	\$17,371.00

PA FY2007 ESG-State Entitlement Grants (FFY 2008 Activity)					
Recipient	Project Type	Amount Granted	Drawn Thru Program Year	Drawn in Program Year	Balance Remaining
Victory House of the Lehigh Valley	Shelter Renovations	\$20,840.00	\$20,840.00	\$3,678.97	\$0